



Saas METRICS PALOOZA

SaaS METRICS PALOOZA 24



Public Company Enterprise Valuation Trends



Alex Clayton General Partner Meritech Capital



What is the Meritech Software Pulse?

- As an investor in later-stage technology companies for over 20 years and predominantly software companies – we closely follow and monitor the public markets.
- Moreover, we host Meritech Benchmarking, a free easy-to-use application that tracks and analyzes the public SaaS market.
- Behind this application are millions of data points and with that we decided to launch the Meritech Software
 Pulse: a recurring update on the state of the almost \$2 trillion dollar public SaaS industry.
- The following dives into the state of valuations, operating metrics and KPIs, profitability and scale, and what it means to be a best-in-class public SaaS company. For more information or to sign up, click here for recurring updates.





The below chart shows the 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentile NTM revenue multiples. 90th percentile companies have seen the most multiple compression, down 77% from a 2021 high of 49.3x to 11.5x today. The current median multiple is 5.4x, still below the pre-COVID median of 8.1x but down 72% from the 2021 high of 19.6x.



NTM Revenue Multiples for the Top 10 Multiple Companies

The following chart shows the same view but only for the 10 companies with the highest multiple on each day. The current top 10 company median is 13.0x, 12% above the pre-COVID median of 11.5x but down 81% from the 2021 high of 69.0x.





Implied ARR Multiples | All SaaS

The following chart looks at Implied ARR multiples for the SaaS market for the last 8 years. The current median multiple is 6.1x, below the pre-COVID median of 8.7x but down 73% from the 2021 high of 22.4x.



— Median – – – Pre-COVID Median – – – Post-COVID Boom Median – – – New Normal Median



Growth-Adjusted Revenue Multiples | All SaaS

The following chart looks at Growth-Adjusted Revenue multiples for the SaaS market for the last 8 years. The current median multiple is 0.42x, above the pre-COVID median of 0.30x but down 57% from the 2021 high of 0.98x.



Median NTM Revenue Growth and FCF Margins | All SaaS

Public SaaS companies have rapidly shifted towards efficiency. Forward growth rates have come down dramatically, and free cash flow margins have risen across the board. Put simply, companies are trading growth for profitability in today's market.





Rule of 40 Buckets | All SaaS

The below chart has a similar layout to the prior chart but is segmented instead based on Rule of 40. Note that CrowdStrike is the only company currently in the Rule of 60 bucket.

| | | | | | | | % | 1 in | % L Mar | 1 in ket | |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| | NTM Revenue Multiple | | | Median M | Median Market Capitalization | | | Multiple | | Capitalization | |
| | 2017 Median | 2021 Median | Today's Median | 2017 Median | 2021 Median | Today's Median | '17 to Today | '21 to Today | '17 to Today | '21 to Today | |
| 60%+ Rule of 40 | 6.3x | 24.8x | 18.8x | \$5,877 | \$47,294 | \$76,056 | 201 % | (24)% | 1194 % | 61 % | |
| 40-60% Rule of 40 | 8.5x | 20.9x | 11.5x | \$10,942 | \$28,549 | \$110,758 | 36 % | (45)% | 912 % | 288 % | |
| 20-40% Rule of 40 | 6.5x | 14.7x | 8.5x | \$2,215 | \$8,275 | \$5,072 | 31 % | (42)% | 129 % | (39)% | |
| <20% Rule of 40 | 3.8x | 11.6x | 3.8x | \$848 | \$4,908 | \$1,675 | 1 % | (67)% | 97 % | (66)% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

MERITECH



Rule of 40 Composition Analysis

M

NTM Revenue Growth <10% >30% 10-20% 20-30% <10% 10% 20% 31% NTM Free Cash Margin 10-20% 23% 30% 36% 20-30% 32% 39% 49% >30% 51% 40% 52% **MERITECH**





Rule of 40 Composition Analysis



Rule of 40 Composition Analysis: Median Multiple and Rule of 40 Combinations



M

FRICS DAL

Relative Importance of Revenue Growth vs. FCF Margin

The chart below is based on a two-factor regression of NTM revenue growth and NTM FCF margin to ARR multiple. It shows that growth is 2.4x as correlated with multiple vs. FCF margin. Said another way, a 1% increase in growth would have the same impact on multiple as a 2.4% increase in FCF margin.



Relative Importance of Revenue Growth vs. FCF Margin

MERITECH

Regression Analysis | EV / Implied ARR Multiple vs. Meritech Rule of 40

We've established that growth has (today and historically) an outsized influence on software company valuations relative to FCF margins. So, rather than plot regressions of growth and Rule of 40 separately, we at Meritech plot multiples against an adjusted Rule of 40 score, where growth receives a disproportionate weighting (in this case, 3x) relative to FCF margins – the Meritech Rule of 40. This metric more accurately reflects the valuation environment as shown in the prior chart and results in a higher correlation.



Trended Regression Analysis | Growth vs. Rule of 40 vs. Meritech Rule of 40

The chart below shows the Meritech Rule of 40 correlation plotted over time alongside standard growth rate and Rule of 40 correlations. Following the market sell-off and multiple compression in early 2022, growth and Rule of 40 correlations converged. The Meritech Rule of 40 shows a consistently higher correlation than growth or traditional Rule of 40.





Regression Analysis | NTM FCF Multiple vs. NTM Revenue YoY Growth

The chart below shows a regression analysis comparing NTM revenue growth vs. NTM free cash flow multiples. In this analysis, we include only those public SaaS companies with an NTM FCF margin greater than 15%. For this set of comps, the correlation between revenue growth and free cash flow multiples is 0.49.



Median Net Dollar Retention | All SaaS

Net dollar retention rates across public SaaS have continued to decline and are at their lowest point in years at a median of 110% but shows signs of stabilizing. Upsells have decreased and churn and contraction have increased.



Median Net Dollar Retention



Median Implied ARR per FTE | All SaaS

While companies are raising free cash flow margins, they're being forced to do more with less given layoffs and slower hiring.



Median ARR per FTE (\$ in 000's)



Median Payback Period in Months | All SaaS

No surprise, payback periods are increasing as new business is slower, expansion is harder to come by, and churn and contraction are increasing but there are signs of stabilization.



Median Payback Period in Months



Median % YoY Implied ARR Growth | All SaaS

ARR growth has stopped decelerating and shows signs of stabilization.



Median % YoY Implied ARR Growth



Median % YoY Customer Count Growth | All SaaS

Customer Growth shows signs of stabilization as well.



Median % YoY Customer Count Growth



M

Performance by Implied ARR Buckets

| - | <\$400M | \$400-\$700M | \$700-\$1,000M | \$1,000-\$1,500M | \$1,500-\$3,000M | >\$3,000M |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Operating Metrics | | | | | | |
| Company Count | 14 | 19 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 10 |
| Company Age | 15.8 | 18.0 | 15.4 | 19.2 | 17.9 | 19.7 |
| Company Age Since IPO | 3.3 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 9.0 |
| Trading Metrics | | | | | | |
| 12-Month % Share Price Change | (14%) | (7%) | (1%) | (15%) | (1%) | 1% |
| Market Capitalization (\$M) | \$978 | \$3,434 | \$5,714 | \$6,477 | \$21,386 | \$63,977 |
| Implied ARR Multiple | 3.6x | 5.8x | 6.5x | 4.8x | 9.5x | 9.6x |
| NTM Revenue Multiple | 3.3x | 5.3x | 6.3x | 4.4x | 8.6x | 8.4x |
| Annualized Gross Profit Multiple | 4.3x | 6.5x | 9.1x | 6.2x | 10.6x | 10.9x |
| NTM FCF Multiple | 47.7x | 37.2x | 42.2x | 23.7x | 37.5x | 30.1x |
| Multiple of Money Return from IPO | 0.5x | 0.9x | 1.1x | 1.9x | 5.1x | 7.7x |
| IRR from IPO Price | (19%) | (2%) | 3% | 11% | 25% | 24% |
| Financial Metrics | \$202 | \$592 | \$703 | ¢1 177 | \$0.370 | \$6 A15 |
| Wax Implied ARR (and) | \$000 200/ | 4502 | 40% | 470/ | 460/ | 4094 |
| % YoY NTM Beyenve Crowth | 20% | 15% | 19% | 17% | 10% | 19% |
| Net Delles Betention | 10% | 11.70 | 1100/ | 12 70 | 14 70 | 110% |
| Implied Austrage ACV (CK) | 109% | £140 | F10% | 110% | 112% ¢co | f19% |
| Implied Average ACV (\$K) | \$147 | \$140 | \$40 \$240 | \$35 | \$09 \$440 | \$339 |
| Implied ARR / FTE (\$K) | \$204 22.0 | \$203 05 0 | \$349 22.0 | \$370 | φ410 25.1 | φ403 20.1 |
| Gross Margin | 22.9 | 20.2 | 23.0 | 77% | 20.1 | 29.1 |
| Soles & Marketing % of Payanua | 10% | 79% | 10% | 20% | 220/ | 75% |
| Bassarch & Development % of Revenue | 40% | 10% | 42 % | 170/ | 160/ | 25% |
| Conoral & Administrative % of Revenue | 20% | 10% | 20% | 0% | 0 % | 69/ |
| Operating Income (/l.eas) Marrin | 10/ | 1 4 9/ | 12% | 9% | 0% | 0% |
| COE Margin | (19/) | 14 % | 1 2 70 | 10% | 20% | 23% |
| NTM Pulo of 40 | (1%) | 14% | 14 % | 20% | 20% | 31% |
| INTIMI Rule OF 40 | 2170 | 20% | 33% | 29% | 30% | 42% |
| | | | | | MERI | TECH |



M

Top 10 Implied ARR Multiple Companies





Top 10 Market Capitalization Companies





MERITECH

FRICS PAL

EV/Implied ARR Multiple Quartiles



| | Top Quartile | 3rd Quartile | 2nd Quartile | Bottom Quartile |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Trading Metrics | | | | |
| 12-Month % Share Price Change | 29% | 2% | (3%) | (26%) |
| Market Capitalization (\$M) | \$26,607 | \$6,315 | \$3,216 | \$2,187 |
| Implied ARR Multiple | 12.3x | 6.9x | 5.1x | 2.4x |
| NTM Revenue Multiple | 11.2x | 6.4x | 4.7x | 2.4x |
| Annualized Gross Profit Multiple | 16.3x | 9.4x | 6.5x | 3.8x |
| NTM FCF Multiple | 43.7x | 34.6x | 25.6x | 17.5x |
| Multiple of Money Return from IPO | 6.3x | 1.2x | 1.2x | 0.6x |
| IRR From IPO Price | 23% | 5% | 7% | (14%) |
| Financial Metrics | | | | |
| Implied ARR (\$M) | \$2,016 | \$826 | \$706 | \$710 |
| % YoY Implied ARR Growth | 26% | 20% | 16% | 9% |
| Net Dollar Retention | 113% | 113% | 108% | 102% |
| Implied Avg. ACV (\$K) | \$90 | \$67 | \$34 | \$22 |
| Implied ARR / FTE (\$K) | \$448 | \$319 | \$294 | \$419 |
| Implied Payback Period (Months) | 15.1 | 25.3 | 25.8 | 29.1 |
| LTM Gross Margin | 78% | 78% | 79% | 76% |
| LTM Operating Income / (Loss) Margin | 23% | 12% | 16% | 14% |
| LTM FCF Margin | 26% | 13% | 16% | 10% |
| LTM Rule of 40 | 48% | 35% | 31% | 23% |
| | | | | ¥ |

M



Rule of 40 Composition Analysis (1/3)

Simple regressions comparing multiples against growth or Rule of 40 are valuable, but this analysis shows that the composition of your Rule of 40 can meaningfully impact your valuation. This is highlighted in the red boxes in the tables which, show that companies with similar Rule of 40 but growing faster can trade at a significant premium (10.6x) to companies with lower growth and higher free cash flow margins (6.3x). The bar chart below plots those red boxes and visualizes the inverse correlation between Rule of 40 and multiple for this very reason, a dynamic you could not pick up from looking at a simple regression. Investors pay the highest prices for companies that are growing quickly and have some free cash flow. This implies the company has a great market structure, and if growth was slowed, theoretically, free cash flow margins would rise even further. Note blank cell(s) indicate no companies are currently in that bucket.

| | | NTM Revenue Growth | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------|--------|----------|--|--|--|
| | | <10% | 10-20% | 20-30% | >30% | | | |
| NTM Free Cash Margin | <10% | 2.0x | 3.9x | 8.3x | - | | | |
| | 10-20% | 3.3x | 5.9x | 10.6x | - | | | |
| | 20-30% | 4.5x | 9.0x | 11.2x | - | | | |
| | >30% | 6.3x | 11.7x | 20.6x | | | | |
| | | | | | MERITECH | | | |

Rule of 40 Composition Analysis: Median EV / Implied ARR Multiple





Speaker Contact Slide



Alex Clayton

https://www.linkedin.com/in/aclayton/ https://x.com/afc

SaaS METRICS PALOOZA 24